

No. 7990-4Lab-72/30860.—In pursuance of the provisions of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Beas Engineering Works, Sonapat.

BEFORE SHRI P. N. THUKRAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA,
ROHTAK

Reference No. 120 of 1972

between

SHRI RAI SINGH, AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S BEAS ENGINEERING
WORKS, SONEPAT

Present :

Shri Rajinder Singh, for the applicant.

Shri Surinder Kaushal, for the management.

AWARD

The Governor of Haryana in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 was pleased to refer the following dispute to this court for adjudication,—vide Haryana Government notification No. ID/RK/188-A-71/943, dated 4th April, 1972.—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Rai Singh, was justified and in order? If not; to what relief is he entitled?

It is not necessary to decide the case on merits because a compromise has been arrived at between the parties. The workman has been taken back into service with continuity of service. It is mutually agreed between the parties that the period for which the workman remained un-employed will be treated as leave without wages. I give my award as per terms of compromise. No order as to costs.

P. N. THUKRAL,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

Dated: 14th July, 1972.

No. 1272, dated Rohtak, the 14th July, 1972.

Forwarded in quadruplicate to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour & Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under Section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

P. N. THUKRAL,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak.

No. 7988-4Lab-72/30862.—In pursuance of the provisions of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak, between the workman and the management of M/s Sunrise Product, Bahadurgarh. :—

BEFORE SHRI P. N. THUKRAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA,
ROHTAK

Reference No. 11 of 1972

between

SHRI RAM BHAWAR AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S SUNRISE PRODUCTS,
BAHADURGARH

Present.—

Shri Rajinder Singh, for the applicant.

Nemo for the management.

AWARD

The Governor of Haryana in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, was pleased to refer the following dispute to this Court for adjudication,—*vide* Haryana Government Gazette notification No. ID/RK/25-A-71/274, dated 5th January, 1972 :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Ram Bhawar, was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

It is not necessary to decide the case on merits because a compromise has been arrived at between the parties. The representative of the workman has given a statement that the workman has settled all his dispute with the management. In view of the settlement between the parties, the workman is not entitled to any further relief. I give my award accordingly. No order as to costs.

P. N. THUKRAL,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak,

Dated 14th July, 1972.

No. 1271, dated Rohtak the 14th July, 1972

Forwarded (4 copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

P. N. THUKRAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 7989-4Lab-72/30856.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Sunrise Products, Bahadurgarh.

**BEFORE SHRI P. N. THUKRAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,
HARYANA, ROHTAK**

Reference No. 25 of 1972

between

**SHRI RAM GULAM AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S SUNRISE PRODUCTS,
BAHADURGARH**

Present.—

Shri Rajinder Singh, for the applicant.

Shri Surinder Kaushal, for the management.

AWARD

The Governor of Haryana in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 was pleased to refer the following dispute to this court for adjudication, — *vide* Haryana Government notification No. ID/RK/25-B-71/286, dated 5th January, 1972 :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Ram Gulab was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?"

It is not necessary to decide the case on merits. The representative of the workman has made a statement that the workman has received his dues from the management in full and final settlement and now there is no dispute between the parties. Accordingly the workman is not entitled to any further relief. I give my award accordingly.

No order as to costs.

Dated 14th July, 1972.

P. N. THUKRAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 1270, dated the 14th July, 1972

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

P. N. THUKRAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.